

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/532,576		03/22/2000	Peter W. Hamilton	7995	8660
27752	7590	09/09/2004	EXAMINER		
		& GAMBLE CON PROPERTY DIVIS	CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN		
		CHNICAL CENTI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		L AVENUE	1772		
CINCINN	ATI, OH	45224		DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/532,576	HAMILTON ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alicia Chevalier	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth the dater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mailing the status of the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mailing the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mailing than the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mailing than the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mailing than the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for the s	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension prignally set in the final Office actions or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in the appeal.
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);	•
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelir	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: see continuation sheet.		
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	oe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see	reconsideration has been consideration sheet.	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided belov	☐ will be entered and an v or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: none.		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>17,36-38,54 and 56</u> .		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-14,16,18,20-35,39,40,42-53,5</u>	6 and 57.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>58-62</u> .		
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>
10. Other:	Willia P.	Wastriand
R9-1-04	WILLIA PRIM	M P. WATKINS III ARY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Acting For Part of Paper No. 09012004

) Yord City on SPE **Advisory Action**

Continuation Sheet

Continuation of 2. Note: Newly Amended claim 1 raises new issues requiring a novel search and further consideration because it now recites "with a laminating adhesive, said laminating adhesive comprising air flow management means". Also, claim 21 now recites "a substrate layer bonded to said back face of said film with an adhesive, said adhesive comprising air flow management means" and claim 40 recites "with a laminating adhesive, said laminating adhesive comprising air flow management means."

Continuation of 5. because: Applicant's arguments are drawn to a proposed claim amendment which is not being entered; thus, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

Applicant's arguments in the response filed August 18, 2004 regarding the previous 35
 U.S.C. 103 rejections of record have been considered but are deemed unpersuasive.

Applicant argues that the prior art of record does not teach the newly added limitation "laminating adhesive comprising air flow management means," which has been added to the independent claims 1, 21, and 40.

Applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims, since Applicant's arguments are drawn to the proposed claim amendment which is not being entered. As discussed in the interview on August 17, 2004, the limitation "air flow management means" is broader in

Art Unit: 1772

scope then the indicated allowable subject matter "air vent channels" (claims 17, 36 and 54). Therefore, a novel search and further consideration is necessary.

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1490. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ac

9/1/04

William P. Watkins III
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Acting For Horotel BonSPE